Maintenance of Functional Capacity and Hemodynamic Responses After Discharge from Cardiac Rehabilitation
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Abstract: Purpose: To examine the maintenance of functional capacity and hemodynamic responses to exercise in cardiac patients two and a half years after discharge from cardiac rehabilitation program. Methods: Eighty-eight patients with CVD were contacted after discharge from our university-based phase II cardiac rehabilitation program between 2011 and 2014. Only fifty-two patients agreed to participate in the study (age = 59.1 ± 14 years, height = 1.67 ± 0.9 m, weight = 77.5 ± 13.2 kg, BMI = 27.6 ± 3.6 kg/m²). Patients returned 30.7 ± 9.0 months after CR discharge and performed a 6-minute walking test (6MWT). Functional capacity was measured by the distance walked during the 6MWT and hemodynamic responses were measured after the test. Multiple repeated-measures ANOVAs were used to compare variables at baseline (Pre), end of CR (Post1) and follow-up 2.5 yrs after completing CR (Post2). Bonferroni post hoc analysis was used when appropriate. Significance was accepted at the \( p < 0.05 \) level. Results: Functional capacity improved 23.1% with CR (443 ± 95 m Pre to 545 ± 87 m Post1, \( p < 0.001 \)) and was maintained at follow-up (545 ± 87 m Post1 to 542 ± 71 m Post2, \( p > 0.05 \)). Resting heart rate decreased 7.0% between CR and follow-up (71 ± 9.0 bpm Post1 to 66 ± 11 bpm Post2, \( p = 0.007 \)). DBP decreased by 5.6% after CR (71 ± 10 mmHg Pre to 67 ± 9.0 mmHg Post1, \( p = 0.002 \)) and was maintained after discharge (67 ± 9.0 mmHg Post1 to 66 ± 9.0 mmHg Post2, \( p > 0.05 \)). Patients experienced a greater five-minute heart rate recovery (24 ± 13 bpm Pre vs 38 ± 16 bpm Post1, \( p < 0.001 \), which was maintained at follow-up (38 ± 16 bpm Post1 vs 39 ± 12 bpm Post2, \( p > 0.05 \)). Greater SBP recovery was also found (20 ± 12 mmHg Pre vs 29 ± 16 mmHg Post1, \( p = 0.004 \)) and maintained at follow-up (29 ± 16 mmHg Post1 vs 27 ± 11 mmHg Post2, \( p > 0.05 \)). RPP was greater (13066 ± 3152 mmHg*bpm Pre vs 15934 ± 3962 mmHg*bpm Post 1, \( p < 0.001 \)) and maintained at follow-up Post1 vs 14933 ± 3505 mmHg*bpm Post2, \( p = 0.288 \)). Conclusion: Patients maintained their functional capacity and hemodynamic responses to exercise two and a half years after discharge from CR, despite they gained body weight.
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1. Introduction

Cardiovascular disease (CVD) is one of the leading causes of death in Costa Rica and worldwide [1, 2]. The death rate attributable to CVD in the United States was 30.8% in 2013; one in three deaths were due to CVD and one in seven deaths were related to coronary artery disease (CAD) [3]. Currently, CVD is one of the main public health problems in the United States, due to the high medical costs of treatment such as hospital services, prescribed medications, physicians and other health care professionals [3]. In Costa Rica, approximately 25% of all deaths in 2012 were due to CVD, and among them, CAD was the most prevalent (16.2%). Furthermore, myocardial infarction (MI)
accounted for 38.5% of all CAD deaths [1]. Coronary risk factors such as hypertension, high cholesterol, smoking, obesity, diabetes and physical inactivity increase the risk of CVD [3]. In Costa Rica, it has been reported that approximately 5,500 discharges from hospitals of the Costa Rican Social Security Administration System are for CAD and 1,886 discharges are for MI [1].

A traditional 12-wk cardiac Rehabilitation (CR) for patients with CVD have positive effects on body composition, lipid profile, coronary risk factors, functional capacity, maximal oxygen consumption (VO\textsubscript{2max}), muscular strength, improvement in quality of life and reduction of cardiac mortality [4-15]. In addition, improvements in hemodynamic responses to exercise in patients with CVD and after coronary artery bypass surgery have been reported [5, 16].

Despite the multiple benefits gained by CVD patients after a traditional 12-wk CR program, benefits in functional capacity, VO\textsubscript{2max}, physical activity levels, coronary risk factors, or muscle strength decreased or were not maintained over 3, 12 and 18 months [17-21]. Conversely, patients who followed weekly exercise recommendations maintained their benefits for a year or more after completing a CR program [6, 22-25]. During follow-up, Hansen et al. [17], reported no changes observed for body weight and body mass index after 18 months. A couple of studies reported that total physical activity was not different between groups at 12 or 18 months [6, 21]. However, others reported that physical activity was lower after 6 or 12 months of follow-up after CR program [18]. However, there is still limited information regarding the maintenance of functional capacity and hemodynamic responses to exercise after patients are discharged from a CR program [6, 22, 23, 26]. Therefore, the purpose of this study was to examine the maintenance of functional capacity and hemodynamic responses to exercise in cardiac patients two to three years after discharge from a traditional 12-wk CR program.

2. Methods

2.1. Study Overview

A retrospective and follow-up study where 88 patients (65 men and 23 women) with CVD were contacted after discharge from a university-based phase II cardiac rehabilitation program from 2011 to 2014. Only Fifty-two patients agreed to participate in the study with CVD and after coronary artery bypass surgery have been reported [6, 21]. However, others reported that physical activity was lower after 6 or 12 months of follow-up after CR program [18]. However, there is still limited information regarding the maintenance of functional capacity and hemodynamic responses to exercise after patients are discharged from a CR program [6, 22, 23, 26]. Therefore, the purpose of this study was to examine the maintenance of functional capacity and hemodynamic responses to exercise in cardiac patients two to three years after discharge from a traditional 12-wk CR program.

2.2. CR Exercise Protocol

All exercise sessions were performed in a traditional 12-wk CR program and were monitored with ECG telemetry (Quinton Q-Tel RMS, Cardiac Science, Bothell, WA 98021 USA) and supervised by a general physician and an exercise specialist. The exercise duration was prescribed for 20 to 45 minutes per session (increments of 5 minutes per week until a total of 45 minutes), 3 times per week at 40% to 80% of VO\textsubscript{2} reserve using a treadmill (Quinton Medtrack CR-60, Cardiac Science, Bothell, WA 98021 USA) and cycle ergometer (Monark 828E, Monark Exercise AB, Vansbro, Sweden). Exercise intensity was also monitored based on heart rate reserve, Borg Scale (11-13) or resting heart rate (+20 or +30 beats per minute) in patients taking beta-blockers.

2.3. 6MWT and Hemodynamic Response Measurements

Patients performed the 6MWT according to a standardized protocol described previously [27]. A resting HR, SBP, DBP and an electrocardiographic (ECG) rhythm strip were obtained prior to each test at baseline (Pre), the end of CR (Post1) and return visit after CR discharge (Post2). Patients walked in a 10-meter outdoor hallway without climate control for six minutes and the goal of the test was to cover the greatest distance. Hemodynamic responses to exercise using heart rate and blood pressure were measured immediately after the 6MWT and at five minutes of recovery.

2.4. Statistical Analysis

A factorial ANOVAs was used to compared between patients who agreed to participate in the study (n = 52) and...
those who did not (n = 36) at the end of CR (Post1). Normality and homogeneity of variance were assessed using the Kolmogorov-Smirnov Test and Levene Test. Multiple 1 by 3 repeated-measures ANOVAs were used to determine significant changes with CR and at again 2.5 years after CR. Bonferroni post hoc analysis was used when appropriate [28]. All data were analyzed using the Statistical Package for the Social Sciences (SPSS) version 21.0. Significance was accepted at $p < 0.05$ level.

3. Results

The factorial analysis revealed no significant differences between those patients who agreed to participate (n = 52) and those who did not agree to participate (n = 36). Table 1 shows the baseline characteristics of patients measured at baseline and 30.7 months of follow-up after discharge from CR. On average, patients improved their 6MWT distance by 23.1% with CR (443 ± 95 m Pre to 545 ± 87 m Post1, $p < 0.001$), and this improvement was maintained at follow-up after discharge (545 ± 87 m Post1 to 542 ± 71 m Post2, $p > 0.05$). Body weight increased 2.2% between CR discharge and follow-up (75.8 ± 12 kg Pre to 77.5 ± 13 kg Post2, $p = 0.002$) and BMI increased 2.6% (26.9 ± 3.4 kg/m$^2$ Post1 to 27.6 ± 3.6 kg/m$^2$ Post2, $p = 0.005$). Waist circumference did not change at any of the evaluations. Resting HR decreased 7.0% between Post1 and Post2 (71 ± 9.0 to 66 ± 11 bpm, respectively, $p = 0.007$) and resting DBP was reduced by 5.6% with CR (71 ± 10 mmHg Pre to 67 ± 9.0 mmHg Post1, $p = 0.002$) and was maintained after discharge (67 ± 9.0 mmHg Post1 to 66 ± 9.0 mmHg Post2, $p > 0.05$). These data are presented in Table 2.

### Table 1. Descriptive characteristics of cardiac patients at 2 to 3 years after discharge from cardiac rehabilitation.

<table>
<thead>
<tr>
<th>Variable</th>
<th>Mean ± SD</th>
<th>Minimum</th>
<th>Maximum</th>
</tr>
</thead>
<tbody>
<tr>
<td>Age (years)</td>
<td>59.1 ± 14</td>
<td>24</td>
<td>84</td>
</tr>
<tr>
<td>Weight (kg)</td>
<td>77.5 ± 13</td>
<td>53.0</td>
<td>102.1</td>
</tr>
<tr>
<td>Height (cm)</td>
<td>167.3 ± 8.7</td>
<td>146</td>
<td>188</td>
</tr>
<tr>
<td>BMI (kg/m$^2$)</td>
<td>27.6 ± 3.6</td>
<td>20.2</td>
<td>35.5</td>
</tr>
<tr>
<td>WC (cm)</td>
<td>96 ± 9.5</td>
<td>75.5</td>
<td>115.0</td>
</tr>
<tr>
<td>Resting HR (bpm)</td>
<td>66 ± 11</td>
<td>44</td>
<td>93</td>
</tr>
<tr>
<td>Resting SBP (mmHg)</td>
<td>108 ± 15</td>
<td>86</td>
<td>146</td>
</tr>
<tr>
<td>Resting DBP (mmHg)</td>
<td>66 ± 9.0</td>
<td>50</td>
<td>80</td>
</tr>
<tr>
<td>6MWT distance (m)</td>
<td>542.4 ± 71.4</td>
<td>390</td>
<td>660</td>
</tr>
</tbody>
</table>

Abbreviations: SD = standard deviation, BMI = body mass index, WC = waist circumference, HR = heart rate, bpm = beats per minute, SBP = systolic blood pressure, DBP = diastolic blood pressure, 6MWT = 6-minute walk test.

Patients exhibited better five minute heart rate recovery after the 6MWT with CR (24 ± 13 bpm Pre vs 38 ± 16 bpm Post1, $p < 0.001$), which was maintained at follow-up (39 ± 12 bpm Post1 vs 39 ± 12 bpm Post2, $p > 0.05$). Patients also had a greater SBP recovery with CR (20 ± 12 mmHg Pre vs 29 ± 16 mmHg Post1, $p = 0.004$) and it was also maintained at follow-up after discharge (29 ± 11 mmHg Post1 vs 27 ± 11 mmHg Post2, $p > 0.05$). DBP recovery did not change in any of the three measurements. Patients showed a higher rate pressure product after CR (13.066 ± 3152 mmHg*bpm Pre vs 15.934 ± 3962 mmHg*bpm Post1, $p < 0.001$), which was maintained at follow-up (15.934 ± 3962 mmHg*bpm Post1 vs 14.933 ± 3505 mmHg*bpm Post2, $p > 0.05$). These data are presented in Table 3.

### Table 2. Differences between Pre, Post1 and Post2 cardiac rehabilitation outcomes.

<table>
<thead>
<tr>
<th>Variable</th>
<th>Mean ± SD</th>
<th>Lower Bound</th>
<th>Upper Bound</th>
</tr>
</thead>
<tbody>
<tr>
<td>Weight (kg)</td>
<td>75.6 ± 12$^a$</td>
<td>75.8 ± 12$^a$</td>
<td></td>
</tr>
<tr>
<td>BMI (kg/m$^2$)</td>
<td>26.7 ± 3.6$^a$</td>
<td>26.9 ± 3.4$^a$</td>
<td></td>
</tr>
<tr>
<td>WC (cm)</td>
<td>96 ± 9.5$^a$</td>
<td>95 ± 9.3$^a$</td>
<td></td>
</tr>
<tr>
<td>Resting HR (bpm)</td>
<td>72 ± 13.4$^a$</td>
<td>71 ± 9.0$^a$</td>
<td></td>
</tr>
<tr>
<td>Resting SBP (mmHg)</td>
<td>113 ± 16.2$^a$</td>
<td>108 ± 16.1$^a$</td>
<td></td>
</tr>
<tr>
<td>Resting DBP (mmHg)</td>
<td>71 ± 10$^a$</td>
<td>67 ± 9.0$^a$</td>
<td></td>
</tr>
<tr>
<td>6MWT</td>
<td>443 ± 94.9$^a$</td>
<td>545 ± 87.5$^a$</td>
<td></td>
</tr>
</tbody>
</table>

### Table 3. Hemodynamic responses to exercise after the 6MWT between Pre, Post 1 and Post 2 cardiac rehabilitation.

<table>
<thead>
<tr>
<th>Variable</th>
<th>Mean ± SD</th>
<th>Lower Bound</th>
<th>Upper Bound</th>
</tr>
</thead>
<tbody>
<tr>
<td>Final HR (bpm)</td>
<td>100 ± 20$^a$</td>
<td>115 ± 22$^a$</td>
<td></td>
</tr>
<tr>
<td>Final SBP (mmHg)</td>
<td>128 ± 20$^a$</td>
<td>137 ± 22$^a$</td>
<td></td>
</tr>
<tr>
<td>Final DBP (mmHg)</td>
<td>72 ± 11$^a$</td>
<td>72 ± 10$^a$</td>
<td></td>
</tr>
<tr>
<td>RPP (mmHg*bpm)</td>
<td>13066 ± 3152$^a$</td>
<td>15934 ± 3962$^a$</td>
<td>14933 ± 3505$^a$</td>
</tr>
<tr>
<td>5 min HR recovery (bpm)</td>
<td>24 ± 13$^a$</td>
<td>38 ± 16$^a$</td>
<td></td>
</tr>
<tr>
<td>5 min SBP recovery (mmHg)</td>
<td>20 ± 12$^a$</td>
<td>29 ± 16$^a$</td>
<td></td>
</tr>
<tr>
<td>5 min DBP recovery (mmHg)</td>
<td>5 ± 6.6$^a$</td>
<td>4 ± 7.9$^a$</td>
<td></td>
</tr>
</tbody>
</table>

Abbreviations: CR = cardiac rehabilitation, HR = heart rate, bpm = beats per minute, SBP = systolic blood pressure, DBP = diastolic blood pressure, RPP = rate pressure product. Means with different superscript letters are significantly different, $^a$ = significantly different between pre-and-post1 $p < 0.05$, $^b$ = significantly different between post1-and-post2 $p < 0.05$. 

4. Discussion

The purpose of the present study was to examine the maintenance of functional capacity and hemodynamic responses to exercise in cardiac patients two to three years after discharge from a traditional 12-wk CR program. Patients maintained their functional capacity and hemodynamic responses to exercise two and a half years after discharge from CR despite they gained body weight. Findings from the present study are consistent with previous reports in terms of improvements in functional capacity and hemodynamic responses to exercise after CR [4-6, 16, 19, 29]. However, the results regarding maintenance in functional capacity are inconsistent with those reported in previous studies [6, 22, 29]. Gupta and colleagues [6] reported that cardiac patients exhibited 7.8% decrease in the distance covered during the 6MWT at one-year follow-up after discharge from CR [6]. In the present study, an increase of 23.1% in functional capacity measured by the 6MWT was observed, which is equivalent to an increase of 0.6 mph after CR. Kavanagh et al. [15] reported that every 1-mph increase in walking speed was associated with a 20% decrease in cardiovascular mortality in CAD patients. In addition, the present study reported that the distance of the 6MWT was maintained at two years or more after exiting the program, which is not consistent with previous studies [6, 29]. In contrast, increases in functional capacity of 24.3% have been reported in patients who had a medical follow-up every 6 months and 18.3% in those who had no follow-up [24]. However, Gupta et al. [6] found a 19.3% decrease in functional capacity at one year after discharge from CR, with or without medical follow-up. Patients who received medical follow-up showed less loss of functional capacity [6]. It is possible that maintenance of functional capacity after the CR program was due to adherence to exercise or telephone follow-up that was given to the patients in some studies [6, 24]. In the present study, no medical or telephone follow-up were done. However, 35% of the cardiac patients in the study reported that continue exercising 3 times per week and 21% reported that maintained their exercise more than 3 times per week. Moreover, 19% of them indicated that they exercised once or twice per week, the rest of the patients reported not been physically active.

Regarding body weight and BMI, the present study found that body weight increased by 2.2% two and a half years after discharge from CR, equivalent to 1.7 kilograms, and BMI increased by 2.6%. These results are similar to previous studies [21, 22]. In patients with CVD who had been discharged from the CR program for over 6 months, body weight and BMI increased by 2% [21]. In another study, 34 patients with CVD also reported that body weight increases 2 kilograms after 12 months of follow-up [26]. In both studies, the authors indicated that the increases in body weight might have been due to poor eating habits and lack of physical activity [21, 26]. However, other studies have reported decreases in body weight and BMI between 2% and 6% after 12, 24 and 36 months after discharge from a CR program [6, 24, 30].

With respect to hemodynamic responses at rest, the present study found a 5.6% decrease in resting DBP after CR which is consistent with previous studies that reported reductions of 2.9% and 9% after CR, respectively [5, 16]. Resting SBP did not improve after CR, which is consistent with another study of cardiac patients [22]. However, the results obtained here are different from previous investigations that showed decreases in SBP between 3.5% and 11% after completing CR [5, 16, 26]. Likewise, in this study a 5.6% DBP decrease was observed after CR and was maintained for two and a half years after discharge, similar to the findings of another study that reported a 15% reduction two years after discharge from CR [22]. However, other studies have reported adverse outcomes, showing increases in SBP and DBP at rest at one or one and a half years after discharge from a CR program [17, 26]. An exercise-based CR program has been shown to reduce blood pressure may be due to increases in the endothelium-dependent vasodilatation of the blood vessels, possibly due to an increase in nitric oxide production [31].

The data for resting heart rate in the present study did not decreased after CR, similar to the findings of previous studies [5, 22], although unlike another study which showed decreases of 12% after CR [16]. However, resting heart rate in the present study declined by 8% at two and a half years after discharge, similar to findings from another study that reported decreases of 15% two years after discharge from CR [22]. HR decreased after discharge probably because exercise training increases vagal tone activity and attenuates sympathetic hyperactivity, that may improve autonomic markers of neuronal regulation and cardiac variability [32]. With respect to hemodynamic responses after the 6MWT, in the present study heart rate and SBP at five minutes of recovery after CR, were 38 beats per minute and 29 mmHg lower than the initial assessment and these results are similar to previous studies with cardiac and heart failure patients [5, 33]. It has been reported that heart rate recovery after a 6MWT is a good predictor of cardiac events in heart failure patients. In addition, patients with low heart rate recovery after the 6MWT have a higher risk of cardiovascular mortality [33]. Importantly, exercise training causes cardiovascular adaptations such as greater stroke volume and lower heart rate maybe due to an increased parasympathetic activity that may reduce heart rate recovery [34]. Similarly, improvements in systolic blood pressure recovery after training may be due to less total peripheral resistance in the blood vessels mediated by nitric oxide production from the endothelium causing vasodilation [35].

5. Limitations

This is a small observational study from a single cardiac rehabilitation program in a university-based setting. The patients were not followed-up after discharge from the phase II cardiac rehabilitation program, were called after discharge,
and ask them to participate in the study. This study was limited by the lack of a control group. A physical activity questionnaire was not administered during the follow-up period and were reliance on self-report measures of the physical activity. Patients were not asked to change their medication after discharge.

6. Conclusions

Patients with cardiovascular disease that underwent a traditional twelve-week exercise-based cardiac rehabilitation program, maintained their functional capacity and hemodynamic responses to exercise two and a half years after discharge from CR. However, body weight was not maintained after exiting the CR program.

Conflict of Interest

All the authors do not have any possible conflicts of interest.

References

113 José Andrés Trejos-Montoja et al.: Maintenance of Functional Capacity and Hemodynamic Responses
After Discharge from Cardiac Rehabilitation


